Appendix B

Factor	Option 1 Rebuild infant block only	Option 2 Rebuild 2 form entry school	Option 3 Rebuild 2 form entry school with 3 form entry
Will impact approx. 1-3 schools not being included in the PCP. However, this option will have the least impact on the PCP, and it would be below the ring-fenced £6.6 million funding	Adv	Dis	Dis
	Yes	No	No
Will impact approx. 3 schools not being included in the PCP, and be estimated to utilise the ring-fenced £6.6 million funding. This option could be considered more economical than completing option 1 and then rebuilding the junior block at a later stage, and better than completing two separate new build projects	Adv	Adv	Dis
	Yes	Yes	Yes
Will impact approx. 4-5 schools not being included in the PCP, and be estimated to utilise all the ring-fenced £6.6 million funding, and require an additional £2.0 million funding that would still need to be sought	Adv	Adv	Dis
	No	No	Yes
Eliminates the major Structural problems/ dangers to the infant block and results in a safe learning	Adv	Adv	Adv
environment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Resolves all school's organisational issues, including the current junior block which has class bases that are undersized, open plan, and poor ventilation	Dis	Adv	Adv
	No	Yes	Yes
It is expected that repairs to junior block would still need to be carried out, and that additional structural problems within the junior block could be possible	Dis	Adv	Adv
	Yes	No	No
Within the 14 years life expectancy of the junior block, it's recognised the existing roof will need to be replaced, and works required to existing doors. This is expected to cost in the region of £200,000.00 and would be an additional cost to the council.	Dis	Adv	Adv
	No	Yes	Yes
A split school/ site and could have an effect on pupil numbers. There is a possibility of pupils attending the infants and moving to another school for their junior	Dis	Adv	Adv
education. This would obviously affect the sustainability of the school	Yes	No	No

Factor	Option 1 Rebuild infant block only	Option 2 Rebuild 2 form entry school	Option 3 Rebuild 2 form entry school with 3 form entry
Costs to complete 2/3 new build projects, (one now for the infant block and one in the future, in some 13 years time, when the school would also need to undergo another building project for the junior block), would cost more as two separate contracts/ projects. Issues such as enabling works, tendering etc. In addition, the school would also be in a period of instability for longer, as one project would finish and another would start later	Dis	Adv	Adv
	Yes	Yes	No
Does not cater for any future pupil increases, and the costs for enlarging the rebuilt infant block to cater for increased pupil number would need to be addressed as an improvement at a later stage, or indeed when	Dis	Dis	Adv
problems are identified with pupil number increases. However, the future enlarging of the overall school could still be incorporated in the re-building of the junior block	No	No	Yes
School would be in a period of stability quicker than completing 2 separate building projects, with	Dis	Adv	Adv
disruption and impact on education during building work being reduced by a shorter programme of works	No	No	Yes
Allows for the whole school to be re-built, which is line with the school's vision and strategy for change, and offers an integrated new school, with interaction improved and developed. In addition the government	Dis	Adv	Adv
agenda on all-through schools, suggests the transition period for moving from one school to another could cause a period of disruption for up to 6 months	No	Yes	Yes
New build school should increase pupils applying to the infants and juniors, as trends show new build	Dis	Adv	Adv
schools have higher admission enquiries and are more popular, and would maintain or increase numbers from infants to juniors	No	Yes	Yes

Factor	Option 1 Rebuild infant block only	Option 2 Rebuild 2 form entry school	Option 3 Rebuild 2 form entry school with 3 form entry
Caters for any future pupil increases. It would be very difficult to increase the size of the school at a later stage, due to the infrastructure being the wrong size and would also create issues for school organisation	Dis	Dis	Adv
	No	No	Yes
Works to the junior block, (which still has a few years life expectancy) would be brought forward, meaning funding would be spent now rather than	Dis	Dis	Adv
approximately 10-13 years time, in line with the degradation of the junior block and life expectancy	No	Yes	Yes
Revenue implications for the 3 form entry, needs to be reviewed closely, in relation to the additional running costs. Normally funding would be based on the PAN, and in this instance the funding would therefore relate to 2 form entry figures. As actual premises running costs would relate to 3 form entry, and they would be higher than the funded 2 form entry	Adv	Adv	Dis
	No	No	Yes
School may not afford the higher running costs, in the interim period until the school becomes 3 form entry, there is the possibility that CYPS could be asked to provide additional funding from within the overall Schools Budget	Adv	Adv	Dis
	No	No	Yes
Most economical solution	Dis	Dis	Adv
	No	No	Yes
Should assist in improving the level of attainment, as previous experience has shown that new build schools have a positive effect on attainment levels. Site area would need to be identified as confined, and school grounds for the school proposed would be insufficient. However, we do have other schools in the city also with undersized external areas. Access to surrounding playing fields/ facilities would need to be reviewed and negotiated for school use.	Adv	Adv	Adv
	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Adv	Adv	Dis
	No	No	Yes